

Mid-Hudson

2011 CFA Scoring and Process Memo

2011 Regional Council & Consolidated Funding Applications

The Regional Economic Development Councils are a community driven, regional approach to economic development in New York State. Each of the ten Regional Councils was tasked with developing a five-year strategic plan that included a comprehensive vision for economic development for that region, regional strategies to achieve that vision, and specific priority projects that are significant, regionally supported and capable of stimulating economic investment.¹

To incentivize the planning process, the Regional Councils competed for \$200 million in ESD capital funds and tax credits based on their Strategic Plans. According to the enabling legislation as passed by the New York State legislature and signed into law by the Governor, “funding will be pursuant to a plan developed by the chief executive officer of the New York state urban development corporation and based in part on a competitive selection process among the regional economic development councils and will support initiatives based on anticipated economic development benefits. Such moneys will be awarded by the New York state urban development corporation at its discretion.”²

Pursuant to the statute, the Empire State Development Corporation developed a competitive process in which Regional Council Strategic Plans containing recommendations for funding made by the local councils were reviewed by a Strategic Plan Review Committee. The Committee was comprised of nationally recognized experts in planning and economic development and state Commissioners. The Committee reviewed each region’s Strategic Plan based on established criteria that included a clearly articulated regional economic development vision, robust public and stakeholder engagement in the planning process, meaningful strategies and priority projects aligned with regional goals and objectives, an implementation agenda, and performance measures.

The Review Committee provided an assessment of each plan³ and made recommendations to Kenneth Adams, President & CEO, Empire State Development Corporation of the four plans that most closely aligned with these criteria.⁴ President Adams accepted the recommendations of the Committee and pursuant to his statutory powers as head of the Urban Development Corporation awarded four regions with the top Strategic Plans up to \$40 million in funding consisting of \$25 million in capital grants and \$15 million in Excelsior tax credits for priority projects identified in their Strategic Plans. The balance of the incentives were awarded to priority projects in alignment with the Strategic Plans of the Councils.

In addition, project sponsors applied for up to \$800 million in economic development resources available from nine state agencies and 29 existing programs through the new Consolidated Funding Application (CFA). The CFA allows businesses and other entities to apply for multiple agency funding sources through a single, web-based application. Awards for CFA projects were made based

¹ See Attachment A: Regional Council Guidebook: “A New State Government Approach to Economic Growth”, page 4.

² See Chapter 54 of the Laws of 2011, Capital Projects Budget, page 595.

³ See Attachment D: Regional Documents containing materials for each region, including the Strategic Plan, Review Committee Assessment and CFA Projects.

⁴ See Attachment C: Memo to Kenneth Adams from members of Strategic Plan Review Committee, December 5, 2011.

on the endorsement of the local Regional Council⁵ and the technical program review of the agencies providing resources, subject to interagency team analysis and agency discretion.⁶

The interagency team reviewed projects based on relevant factors including Regional Councils Strategic Plans and designation of priority projects, project alignment with regional goals and objectives, funding availability and regional need.⁷ Priority projects are those specifically enumerated in the Regional Council Strategic Plans and identified as significant, regionally supported and capable of stimulating economic investment.

The interagency team consisted of career staff from relevant agencies and authorities' with detailed knowledge of their respective CFA program funding. This group conducted an analytical assessment that provided the basis for project funding recommendations made by individual agencies for final approval by respective agency or authority head. As provided in the budget, the Regional Council capital fund was awarded by ESD in its discretion.

This process resulted in funding of projects that aligned with a long term, regionally generated strategic plan and are capable of stimulating economic growth and investment.

Attachments include:

- Regional Council Guidebook: "A New State Government Approach to Economic Growth" (2011)
- Available CFA Resources Guide (2011)
- Memo to Kenneth Adams from members of Strategic Plan Review Committee, December 5, 2011

For each of the ten regions, the following region specific documents are attached:

- Regional Council Strategic Plan
- Strategic Plan Review Committee Assessment
- Spreadsheet of CFA projects

⁵ To account for grading variances among regions, the Regional Council score was normalized through a standard statistical process approved and accepted by the Office of the State Comptroller.

⁶ The description and review criteria for these funds can be found in Attachment B: Available CFA Resources Guide.

⁷ See Attachment A: Regional Council Guidebook: "A New State Government Approach to Economic Growth", page 11.

Strategic Plan Review Committee Assessment Memo

MEMORANDUM

To: Kenneth Adams, President/CEO of Empire State Development Corporation

From: Joan McDonald, NYS Commissioner of Transportation
Cesar Perales, NYS Secretary of State
Bruce Katz, Vice President, Brookings Institution
Dall Forsythe, Senior Fellow, Wagner School of Public Service, New York University
Walter Broadnax, Professor of Public Administration, Maxwell School, Syracuse University

RE: Strategic Plan Review

Date: December 5, 2011

Thank you for inviting us to serve on the Strategic Plan Review Committee for New York State's Regional Economic Development Councils. We are honored to be a part of Governor Cuomo's effort to transform how New York coordinates and invests in economic development. In a matter of months, New York State has changed course from a top-down development model to a community-based approach that emphasizes each region's unique assets, harnesses local expertise, and empowers communities to stimulate regional economic development and create jobs statewide.

In launching the Regional Economic Development Councils, Governor Cuomo noted that "[n]o one knows their regions better than the people who live there. Yet for too long, the State has imposed economic development plans that haven't offered the flexibility for regions to make their own decisions about their future." The Councils, comprised of local experts and stakeholders from business, academia, local government, and non-governmental organizations, were challenged to develop strategic plans that emphasize each region's unique assets.

Over the past three weeks, we have had the privilege and responsibility of assessing the work of the Councils, both in their written plans and their oral presentations. We were impressed and inspired by the results of the collaborative strategic planning process. In our review of the plans, several things became apparent.

First, this is a truly galvanizing initiative. It has stimulated cooperation in every part of the state – across sectors, across jurisdictions, and even across historically competitive institutions.

Second, all of the Councils undertook this effort with a tremendous sincerity of purpose, serious focus and tireless dedication. The obvious commitment of all those who lent their voices and talents to the deliberations should be commended.

Third, the Councils and the people they represent are passionate about their regions and about the potential to grow a vibrant economy.

Fourth, every region of the State is rich with talent, resources, innovation and promise, and the work of the Councils will enable New York to capitalize on these strengths.

And perhaps the most important and obvious of all conclusions: there were no clear winners and losers in this process. Each Plan was a powerful blueprint for growth and job creation in the region.

Development of the strategic plans united regional leaders who were not accustomed to working together on economic development issues and reflected the participation of thousands of residents who enthusiastically embraced the process. Each Plan sought to exploit the region's strengths, while honestly assessing and addressing weaknesses, and offered a realistic vision for the region that could only be developed by those who know the region best.

While all of the plans were of high quality, demonstrating a passion for improving the economic vitality of the region and the state, our task was to use the Strategic Plan Scoring Criteria identified in the Regional Plan Guidebook to identify the four plans with the greatest potential to bring transformational change. The criteria, as outlined below, required a bold regional economic development vision, a robust process for stakeholder participation, meaningful strategies for achieving their goals, an implementation process committed to outcomes, significant leverage of public resources and performance measures:

1. Regional Economic Development Vision (5%)
2. Process (10%)
3. Strategies (35%)
4. Implementation (15%)
5. Leverage Resources (15%)
6. Performance Measures (20%)

The Committee has identified the following Strategic Plans as most closely aligning with these criteria:

- North Country
- Central New Yorkⁱ
- Long Island
- Western New York

Identifying the most exemplary plans was a daunting task given the strength of each of the proposals. Each of the 10 regions offered an energetic plan of action, worthy of mention.

The New York City Regional Plan advances bold projects that will bring jobs and revitalization to each of its boroughs, while promoting interregional cooperation and growth. Many of the City's projects can be furthered with economic development funds available to the State through the New York New Jersey Port Authority, and the Committee recommends that New York City's plan receive funding from the Port as well as from the Regional Council process. The Committee also encourages the State and the Chairman's Committee to work with the City to address regulatory hurdles that may impede the Plan's transformational initiatives.

The Finger Lakes Region provides a high quality blueprint with a plan to accelerate its transformation to a diverse, knowledge-based economy by building on strengths, as well as its successful history of collaboration between public and private institutions, to become a national leader in innovation and commercialization. The Region presents focused projects in areas such as renewable energy and technology innovation, which directly connect to their strategic goals.

The Southern Tier's plan presents a bold vision that strikes a balance between a high tech economy - grounded in higher education and larger employers – and the appeals of small-town life. The plan provided a comprehensive review of their strengths and weaknesses and identifies strategies that

advance recovery, economic growth and uniquely thriving down towns.

Mohawk Valley's strategies are based on leveraging its strengths to build new products and new growth opportunities. Mohawk Valley's emergence as a nanotechnology leader will complement its increasingly diverse economic base.

The Capital Region's Plan identifies broad goals that focus on leveraging the area's robust research capacity and revitalizing urban centers. The plan promotes success by maximizing the region's existing strengths – especially in high-tech industry and research.

The Mid-Hudson's Plan, which stresses its unique location north of New York City and south and east of New York's upstate counties, recognizes the skills of its citizens and high quality of life are all assets for future economic development. The plan establishes a cogent strategy for expanding the region's biomedical capacity.

In each of the winning plans, the Committee was impressed by the intensity of the region's collaborative spirit, mission and purpose, forged by challenging economic circumstances. The projects that were identified by the regions were both strategic and realistic, reflecting a sober, judicious and multi-dimensional approach to economic growth. The Committee would like to make special note of the inclusive nature that these four regions brought to the process. The plans were owned and produced by multiple stakeholders, setting the stage for sustained attention and collective action over time.

The North Country's plan represents the first-ever coordinated and collaborative regional economic development effort in the largest geographic area in the competition. We are impressed by the sophisticated explication of the integrated nature of the Canadian and North Country economies, and by the region's powerful statement of support for broadband access as a key to growing small business throughout the region.

In Central New York, our panel is pleased to see that the Plan embraces the region's socio-economic diversity and specific measures (i.e. Say Yes to Education) to enhance the quality of life and the economic potential of those living in the region's urban core. The Central New York Plan also places a special focus on improving competitiveness in and connections to the regional, national and global economies by strengthening targeted industry concentrations that leverage its unique economic assets.

In Long Island, the Committee applauds the Regional Council's honest appraisal of its condition and its commitment to transforming low-income minority communities. The Council's focus on using the smart growth principle of transit oriented development (TOD) to shift from a sprawling development pattern to one that focuses on downtown revitalization positions the region well for sustainable development. Simultaneously it offers Long Island's existing downtowns a much-needed facelift that will provide a significant economic stimulus across the region. While addressing the region's challenges, Long Island's plan also seeks to build on successful cluster strategies from other parts of the United States.

In Western New York, the Committee is encouraged by the Regional Council's enthusiastic commitment to inclusiveness in the Region's economic resurgence. The Plan draws a compelling connection between a sound education, targeted training and job opportunities for minority youth. The Plan also advances a solid strategy for smart growth investment that will help to revitalize urban cores and attract private investment. The Region's commitment to a holistic approach to downtown revitalization is a

central and distinctive element of its plan. And as with the North Country, Western New York recognizes and seeks to exploit the inextricable linkage between the Canadian economy and its own.

In this exciting process, all of the regions are winners – partnerships and cooperative strategies have developed, many for the first time, and these plans will serve as a living document which will guide economic development in the regions and the state for many years to come.

We would like to extend congratulations to all of the Regional Councils for their outstanding work. Thank you again for inviting us to be a part of this truly transformative initiative. State agencies will continue to make all final decisions about how resources are allocated. For the initial competition, however, a Strategic Plan Review Committee will analyze and compare each region's Strategic Plan.

ⁱ Dr. Walter Broadnax, Professor of Public Administration at The Maxwell School of Syracuse University, recused himself from assessing Central New York's regional plan, given Syracuse University's role in developing the plan.

Strategic Plan Review Committee Assessment

MID-HUDSON REGIONAL COUNCIL
Strategic Plan Scoring Criteria

1. REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT VISION – MAXIMUM 5 %

Discussion/Comments:

The Plan presents a sweeping vision to preserve an unparalleled quality of life for all Mid-Hudson residents by creating a competitive, pro-business climate that cultivates a highly skilled, diverse workforce; encourages investment; nurtures entrepreneurship; promotes academic excellence and scientific discovery; fosters cluster development; fortifies infrastructure; advocates environmental stewardship; expands existing companies of all sizes while attracting others from out-of-state – resulting in unprecedented employment and economic opportunities that reach beyond our region to benefit all New Yorkers.

Strengths:

- Presents a far-reaching vision that reflects broad stakeholder input across the region as a whole.
- Identifies 10 priority industries that can build on the region’s strengths and help achieve its vision.

Weakness:

- None noted.

2. PROCESS – MAXIMUM 10 %

Discussion/Comments:

The Council held multiple public meetings, forums and working group sessions. They also solicited information through the web and through polling. Additionally, the Council established a Public Awareness Working Group to ensure maximum public understanding (e.g., notifying the public of forums, meetings, interactive outreach, turnout at events, collate quantitative responses, and disseminate findings).

Strengths:

- Robust public outreach effort that helped guide the Plan’s goals and strategies.
- Innovative use of scientific polling to inform the Council about how business leaders view the economic vitality of the region.

Weakness:

- None noted.

3. STRATEGIES – MAXIMUM 35 %

Discussion/Comments:

Strategies – The Plan identifies 15 strategic goals including: job-creation investments in developing technology-based industries such as biotechnology, high-tech manufacturing, and information technology; Initiatives to retain and stimulate more mature industries such as distribution, financial and professional services, food and beverage, and health care; and leveraging of the region’s natural resources, tourism industry and agriculture to protect agriculture and the environment to enhance tourism and quality of life. The strategies also recognize the importance of promoting MWBEs and inter-regional partnerships that leverage cross-region resources.

Strengths:

- The Plan’s goals and strategies, when ultimately achieved, have the potential to drive strong economic growth and create robust and vital communities.

Weaknesses:

- The Plan offers a limited number of priority projects that do not readily align with the Plan’s goals and strategies.
- Four of the six priority projects do not address job creation.
- The Plan does not fully articulate how private employers throughout the region will benefit from the priority projects.

4. IMPLEMENTATION – MAXIMUM 15 %

Discussion/Comments:

The Plan envisions that in December 2011, the Council will recruit the Strategies Task Force to initiate implementation of and ensure reporting on the status of each of the 15 strategies. The Strategies Task Force will meet on a monthly basis to develop a full implementation matrix based upon several components, including the Priority Project funding opportunities presented to the Council, the strategies contained herein, and other existing private and public opportunities. Quarterly meetings of the Regional Council will be held in January 2012, April 2012, July 2012 and October 2012.

Strengths:

- The Council states its ongoing committed to this effort and pledges to meet quarterly. This commitment will be imperative to implementation and refinement of the Council's Plan.

Weaknesses:

- Does not specify timeframes for implementing priority projects (i.e., what must be done, the date by which it will be done, and who will be responsible for doing the work).
- The Plan does not identify actions to support strategies which are not linked to priority projects.

5. LEVERAGE RESOURCES – MAXIMUM 15 %

Discussion/Comments:

The Plan places little focus on leverage potential other than to note that \$12 million is available from the New York Medical College for the requested Biotech Incubator Center. The Co-Chair drove home the importance of leverage, however, when he noted that from a small NYS grant made to Regeneron more than a decade ago, the company has grown to over 1,000 employees in NYS.

Strengths:

- There appears to be leveraged support for the Biotechnology Incubator priority project.

Weakness:

- Sources of support for the Biotechnology Incubator project and the High-risk Assessment Clinic project are not clear (e.g., private, federal, state).
- Does not provide leverage information on the Cloud Computing Center project and the three priority projects "in development."
- Not clear if funding is being requested from the State for each of the priority projects (i.e., unable to assess what the priority projects are being leveraged against).
- Does not quantify leveraging of other public, private and non-profit funds and investments.

6. EVALUATION/PERFORMANCE MEASURES – MAXIMUM 20 %

Discussion/Comments:

The Plan identifies the following three sets of performance measures to be used to assess each strategy:

1. General Economic Indicators (GEIs) which constitute the regional dashboard (nine indicators): Total number of employers/businesses; Total number of jobs; Average wage; Regional productivity; Regional Well-Being Economic Indicator (index of Median income, % of households with 30% or more of income needed for housing costs, Unemployment rate, and Poverty rate); Average tax burden (total property tax bill/median income); Population net migration; Percent of workforce traveling outside of county/region for work; and Public opinion data (Marist Institute).
2. Goal Specific Indicators (GSIs) – Two categories designed to measure progress toward achieving one or more of the Plan’s 15 strategic goals.
3. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) – To assess progress across the Plan’s 15 strategic goals.

Strengths:

- Plan offers an interesting dashboard that will be used to measure regional trends.
- Plan offers a very comprehensive approach to performance metrics.

Weaknesses:

- The Plan’s complex evaluation system may be difficult to implement absent the dedication of resources specifically for this purpose.

2011 CFA Project Scores

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR: ON THE JOB TRAINING PROGRAM

7462	Plastics Technologies of NY, LLC	Machine Operator Training	57.50	16.31	73.81	\$ 50,000.00
5434	Schatz Bearing Corporation	SBC TRAINING	30.00	13.97	43.97	\$ -

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR: UNEMPLOYED WORKER PROGRAM

4780	The Young Women's Christian Association of Yonkers	Green/Clean Energy Occupational Training	51.69	18.64	70.33	\$ 36,384.00
5746	Southern Westchester Board of Cooperative Educational Services	Allied Health Career Training	53.31	16.31	69.61	\$ 50,000.00
3116	Sullivan County BOCES	Plastics Manufacturing Worker Training	42.43	17.24	59.67	\$ 50,000.00
4666	EME Specialists & Consultants, Inc.	The Job Seeker	21.52	0.90	22.42	\$ -

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR: WORKER SKILLS UPGRADING PROGRAM

7510	Illinois Tool Works Inc. dba ZIP-PAK	Production Worker Efficiency Training	46.26	13.97	60.23	\$ 18,300.00
7420	Dorsey Metrology International	Computer Aided Modeling and Design Training	38.63	13.97	52.60	\$ 12,000.00
5175	Zeltsman Associates Inc.	Lean Business Process Training	37.63	13.50	51.13	\$ 15,000.00

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
6385	Inertia Switch Inc	Lean Process Improvement Training	38.53	10.71	49.24	\$ -
7741	VistaLab Technologies Inc.	Supply Chain Lean Training	39.74	7.91	47.65	\$ -
5475	San-Mar Laboratories, Inc.	SML	29.83	13.51	43.34	\$ -
5434	Schatz Bearing Corporation	SBC TRAINING	24.02	13.97	37.99	\$ -
7052	MPI Incorporated	Workers Skill Upgrading	19.15	4.64	23.79	\$ -

DEPARTMENT OF STATE: LOCAL WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAM

8754	Village of Cold Spring	Cold Spring Local Waterfront Revitalization Program	67.20	17.71	84.91	\$ 27,000.00
7135	Village of Ossining	Harbor Square Promenade Park	70.40	14.10	84.50	\$ 485,000.00
7738	Putnam County	West Point Foundry Preserve trail improvements	66.40	17.24	83.64	\$ 125,000.00
4761	Village of Sleepy Hollow	Horsemans Pier	63.20	18.64	81.84	\$ 37,000.00
5183	Village of Dobbs Ferry	Waterfront Park Fishing Pier	64.00	17.24	81.24	\$ 322,000.00
5057	City of Mount Vernon	Hutchinson River Revitalization	66.40	14.44	80.84	\$ 92,937.00

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
7254	Sullivan County	Implementing the Upper Delaware River Local Waterfront Revitalization Program	65.60	14.10	79.70	\$ 125,000.00
6269	Village of Briarcliff Manor	RNYNVI	67.20	11.64	78.89	\$ -
3731	Village of Ossining	Downtown Manager	63.20	14.91	78.11	\$ -
7850	Village of Haverstraw	Waterfront AccessDamiani	62.40	12.57	74.97	\$ -
8320	Village of Tarrytown	Waterfront Park Fishing Pier	59.20	13.97	73.17	\$ -
8425	Village of Tarrytown	Tarrytown Waterfront Parking Lot	53.60	15.84	69.44	\$ -
7400	Town of Delaware	Railroad Square	56.00	13.04	69.04	\$ -
8399	Village of Tarrytown	Tarrytown Waterfront HBridge Rehabilitation	56.80	12.11	68.91	\$ -
4219	City of Poughkeepsie	Waterfront Development	53.20	11.17	64.37	\$ -
5181	Town of Montgomery	Benedict Farm Park Walkkill River Trail	49.60	14.44	64.04	\$ -
7827	City of Peekskill	Mayors Roundtable Development Readiness	48.80	12.57	61.37	\$ -
5388	City of Newburgh	Newburgh Beacon Ferry Enhancement Project	46.40	14.44	60.84	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
6255	Village of Rhinebeck	Village of Rhinebeck	47.20	12.57	59.77	\$ -
5702	City of Peekskill	Construction of Fishing Pier Charles Point Park	44.00	14.44	58.44	\$ -
8187	Village of Tarrytown	Depot Plaza Reconfiguration Project	46.40	6.51	52.91	\$ -
3394	Village of Mamaroneck	LWRP Implementation	38.40	10.33	48.73	\$ -
8500	Village of Mamaroneck	Harbor Island Park Flagpole Deck Restoration	32.80	14.91	47.71	\$ -
3813	City of Yonkers	Larkin Plaza Daylighting Park	35.20	11.17	46.37	\$ -
8381	City of Poughkeepsie	Waterfront Recreational Enhancement	28.00	14.91	42.91	\$ -
7247	Village of Port Chester	LWRP2011	31.20	11.17	42.37	\$ -

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION: AVIATION BOND PROGRAM

7870	Dutchess County	Dutchess THangar	37.00	6.97	43.97	\$ -
4853	Westchester County	Westchester County Airport Natural Gas Conversion	31.00	9.31	40.31	\$ -
8641	Orange County Airport	Mult Purpose Snow Removal Equipment	29.00	10.24	39.24	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
5163	Kington-Ulster Airport Inc.	Construct New THangar 10 Bay	36.00	0.90	36.90	\$ -
5143	Sullivan County	Purchase Existing 15 Bay THangar	35.00	1.84	36.84	\$ -
7888	Dutchess County	Dutchess Conventional Hangar	28.00	7.44	35.44	\$ -
5135	Town of Wawarsing	Construct FBO Business and Maintenance Hangar	34.00	0.90	34.90	\$ -
4995	Sky Acres Enterprises, Inc	Sky Acres SRE	34.00	0.44	34.44	\$ -
7893	Dutchess County	Dutchess Water	26.00	6.51	32.51	\$ -
5139	Wurtsboro Airport Preservation League	Airport Pavement Crack Repair Seal Coating and New Markings with Approach Surface Tree Removals	31.00	0.90	31.90	\$ -
5162	Kington-Ulster Airport	Acquire Airfield Maintenance Equipment	31.00	0.90	31.90	\$ -
8135	Dutchess County Airport	Terminal Building HVAC Replacement	20.00	8.37	28.37	\$ -
8171	Dutchess County Airport	Replace Terminal Windows	21.00	6.51	27.51	\$ -
5047	Sky Acres Enterprises, Inc	Sky Acres Hangar	19.00	3.24	22.24	\$ -
5137	Town of Wawarsing	Install Security Cameras Lighting and BackUp Generator	18.00	0.90	18.90	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
4035	Aerodrome Development Corporation	Randall Airport Parking and Roadway Paving	18.00	0.00	18.00	\$ -
5161	Kingston-Ulster Airport	Terminal Building Expansion Pilot Lounge and CafeRestaurant	16.00	0.90	16.90	\$ -

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION: MULTI MODAL PROGRAM

8425	Village of Tarrytown	Tarrytown Waterfront Parking Lot	80.00	15.84	95.84	\$ -
5388	City of Newburgh	Newburgh Beacon Ferry Enhancement Project	80.00	14.44	94.44	\$ -
6459	Middletown & New Jersey Railroad LLC	Orange Country Track and Bridge Rehabilitation	80.00	13.97	93.97	\$ -
7400	Town of Delaware	Railroad Square	80.00	13.04	93.04	\$ -
6792	Town of Wallkill	Connector Road	80.00	12.57	92.57	\$ -
8399	Village of Tarrytown	Tarrytown Waterfront HBridge Rehabilitation	80.00	12.11	92.11	\$ -
8363	City of Yonkers	Yonkers Alexander Street Waterfront Development	80.00	11.64	91.64	\$ -
8709	Orange County Airport	Aircraft Deicing Equipment	80.00	9.31	89.31	\$ -
8135	Dutchess County Airport	Terminal Building HVAC Replacement	80.00	8.37	88.37	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
7958	Middletown & New Jersey Railroad LLC	MNJ Orange County Produce Transload	80.00	7.91	87.91	\$ -
7129	Mohawk Adirondack & Northern RR Corp	Inspect Engineer Loadrate and Repair Culverts Bridges	80.00	7.71	87.71	\$ -
7888	Dutchess County	Dutchess Conventional Hangar	80.00	7.44	87.44	\$ -
7870	Dutchess County	Dutchess T Hangar	80.00	6.97	86.97	\$ -
7893	Dutchess County	Dutchess Water	80.00	6.51	86.51	\$ -
8171	Dutchess County Airport	Replace Terminal Windows	80.00	6.51	86.51	\$ -
8187	Village of Tarrytown	Depot Plaza Reconfiguration Project	80.00	6.51	86.51	\$ -
5143	Sullivan County	Purchase Existing 15 Bay THangar	80.00	1.84	81.84	\$ -
5019	Town of Wallkill	Tower Drive	80.00	0.90	80.90	\$ -
5135	Town of Wawarsing	Construct FBO Business and Maintenance Hangar	80.00	0.90	80.90	\$ -
5137	Town of Wawarsing	Install Security Cameras Lighting and BackUp Generator	80.00	0.90	80.90	\$ -
5161	Kingston-Ulster Airport	Terminal Building Expansion Pilot Lounge and CafeRestaurant	80.00	0.90	80.90	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
5162	Kingston-Ulster Airport	Acquire Airfield Maintenance Equipment	80.00	0.90	80.90	\$ -
5163	Kingston-Ulster Airport Inc.	Construct New T Hangar 10 Bay	80.00	0.90	80.90	\$ -

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION: RAIL AND PORT PROGRAM

6459	Middletown & New Jersey Railroad LLC	Orange Country Track and Bridge Rehabilitation	47.67	13.97	61.64	\$ 1,656,000.00
------	--------------------------------------	--	-------	-------	-------	-----------------

ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES CORPORATION: GREEN INNOVATION GRANT PROGRAM

4618	St Johns Riverside Hospital	St. Johns Riverside Hosp	59.00	14.44	73.44	\$ 990,000.00
6173	Bard College	Kline Stormwater	60.00	10.71	70.71	\$ -
6313	City of White Plains	Municipal Parking Lot Stormwater Treatment	54.00	10.24	64.24	\$ -
8653	Westchester County DEF	Green RoofWalls New Rochelle WWTP	50.00	12.57	62.57	\$ -
6930	Hotel LLC	Green Holiday Inn	41.00	15.84	56.84	\$ -
8350	Town of East Fishkill	Hillside Lake Stormwater Remediation	43.00	10.71	53.71	\$ -
8306	One Point Street Inc	One Point Street Inc Yonkers Alexander Street Waterfront Development	38.00	14.91	52.91	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
8363	City of Yonkers	Yonkers Alexander Street Waterfront Development	40.00	11.64	51.64	\$ -
4002	thomas endres	Thomas Endres	35.00	15.84	50.84	\$ -
5817	City of White Plains	Public Right of Way Street Tree Planters	44.00	4.17	48.17	\$ -
7606	Town of Montgomery Conservation Advisory Council	raincatcher	35.00	13.04	48.04	\$ -

EMPIRE STATE DEVELOPMENT

8306	One Point Street, Inc.	One Point Street Inc Yonkers Alexander Street Waterfront Development	71.00	14.91	85.91	\$ -
5867	New York Medical College	IHA Training Grant	62.00	12.11	74.11	\$ 4,000,000.00
2307	Paladian Group Holdings	Public Safety First Responders Facility	57.00	14.44	71.44	\$ 1,000,000.00
7931	Candela Systems Corporation	CandelaAAI	52.00	15.37	67.37	\$ -
7107	The Center for Discovery Inc.	High Risk Assesment Clinic	54.00	12.11	66.11	\$ -
8563	Marist College	New York State Cloud Computing Center	47.00	17.71	64.71	\$ -
3745	Tuthilltown Spirits, LLC	Tuthilltown Spirits Expansionn	50.00	14.44	64.44	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
4985	ASB Greenworld, INC	ASB Greenworld	56.00	7.91	63.91	\$ -
5360	City of Newburgh	Newburgh Worker Site Readiness	48.00	14.91	62.91	\$ -
7179	Marist College	Smarter Business Development	41.00	18.17	59.17	\$ -
7210	Marist College	Workforce Development Center	39.00	18.64	57.64	\$ -
2369	IBEW LOCAL UNION 363 JATC	IBEW Local Union 363 Construction	39.00	12.11	51.11	\$ -
2248	Long Dock Beacon Associates, LLC	Food Waste Anaerobic Digestion	32.00	16.77	48.77	\$ -
4913	Westhab, Inc.	MV Employment Center	42.00	6.04	48.04	\$ -
5862	Bull and Buddha Albany, LLC	Bull and Buddha	48.00	0.00	48.00	\$ -
8162	Marist College	Green Cloud Center	46.00	--	46.00	\$ -
5180	Alliance Aircraft Ltd.	StarLiner 100	24.00	15.37	39.37	\$ -
8111	Marist College	Shared IT Services for SMBs	39.00	--	39.00	\$ -
5530	County of Sullivan	Broadband	21.00	17.24	38.24	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
8260	Town of Woodstock	Town of Woodstock TA	30.00	3.70	33.70	\$ -
3060	Greenway Marketing Corp.	122zabaka	14.00	15.37	29.37	\$ -
5580	Friends of Hilltop Hanover Farm and Environmental Center, Inc.	Friends of Hilltop Hanover Farm	15.00	13.04	28.04	\$ -
4944	Dover Knolls Development II LLC	Dover Knolls	16.00	10.71	26.71	\$ -
7377	Leslie Bloome Ltd	Alchemy Post Sound	11.00	15.37	26.37	\$ -
5712	Patterson Crossing Realty, LLC	Patterson Crossing	11.00	14.44	25.44	\$ -
5714	PLI, LLC	Stateline Retail Center	11.00	14.44	25.44	\$ -
5903	Pace University	Community Friendly Athletic Facilities at Pace University	14.00	10.71	24.71	\$ -
2323	Clove Creek Dinner Theater LLC	Clove Creek Dinner Theater	23.00	0.44	23.44	\$ -
6559	Creative Response To Conflict, Inc.	CRC jobs	13.00	9.77	22.77	\$ -
2367	IBEW Local Union 363 JATC	IBEW Local 363 Equipment	10.00	12.57	22.57	\$ -
4348	Hudson Valley Economic Development Corporation	Regional Cluster Outreach Program	9.00	11.17	20.17	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
5683	WESTCHESTER FAIRFIELD JOINT ELECTRICAL APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING COMMITTEE	Local 3 WP Equipment	8.00	12.11	20.11	\$ -
2390	IBEW Local Union 363 JATC	IBEW Local Union 363 Training	9.00	10.24	19.24	\$ -
2370	IBEW LOCAL UNION 363 JATC	IBEW Local Union 363 Planning Feasibility	8.00	9.31	17.31	\$ -
4613	Strategic Care Partners, Inc.	Paratransit Call Center	0.00	17.24	17.24	\$ -
6298	Rockland Community College	Centers of Innovation	11.00	6.04	17.04	\$ -
4195	selkirk warehouse,inc	Middletown Branch Expansion	16.00	0.04	16.04	\$ -
4454	C2 Biotechnologies, LLC	Janus	14.00	0.90	14.90	\$ -
5348	Westchester County IDA	EBC Armonk	—	14.44	14.44	\$ -
6046	Connect My Advisors LLC	CMA	6.00	7.44	13.44	\$ -
8260	Town of Woodstock	Town of Woodstock TA	7.00	3.70	10.70	\$ -
4358	Hudson Valley Economic Development Corporation	Biotechnology Cluster Participation at International Trade Show	7.00	0.44	7.44	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
4666	EME Specialists & Consultnats, Inc.	The Job Seeker	5.00	0.90	5.90	\$ -

ESD EXCELSIOR TAX CREDITS

3341	Ceres Technologies, Inc	Generation3	64.00	17.24	81.24	\$ 1,000,000.00
6717	Cafe Spice GCT, Inc	Expansion	62.00	17.24	79.24	\$ 750,000.00
4395	Silarx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Silarx	61.00	16.77	77.77	\$ 952,757.00
5410	San-Mar Manufacturing	SML Manufacturing	59.00	14.44	73.44	\$ 5,000,000.00
8062	Global Fenestration Solutions, Inc./Sound Solutions	High Performance Fenestration	55.00	7.91	62.91	\$ 1,000,000.00
5452	Hudson Valley Produce Farms LLC	energy savings	45.00	12.57	57.57	\$ -
5452	Hudson Valley Produce Farms LLC	HVP Farms	45.00	12.57	57.57	\$ 150,000.00
2220	Contract Packaging Services, Inc.	Rebuilding	43.00	0.00	43.00	\$ 1,000,000.00

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL: 9% LOW INCOME HOUSING CREDIT PROGRAM

8737/20116036	Horizon Heights, LTD	Alexa Meadows 2011	72.00	14.91	86.91	\$ -
---------------	----------------------	--------------------	-------	-------	-------	------

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
2441/20116222	Rockland Housing Action Coalition, Inc.	Congers Lake Village	72.00	14.44	86.44	\$ -
8758/20116051	Regan Development Corp.	Dickinson's Keep	72.00	13.97	85.97	\$ -
8799/20116058	Greater Centennial Community Development Corp.	Zion Court	66.00	15.37	81.37	\$ 570,507.00
8744/20116038	Premier Developers, LLC	Country Meadows 2011	67.00	13.97	80.97	\$ -
4098/20116215	The Kearney Realty & Development Group Inc.	Highridge Gardens	76.00	2.77	78.77	\$ -
4673/20116235	Mamaroneck Senior LLC	Mamaroneck Towers	61.00	17.71	78.71	\$ -
8731/20116033	Warwick Properties, Inc.	Sunrise Apartments	61.00	15.84	76.84	\$ 1,366,673.00
4329/20116258	Birchez Associates, LLC	Birches at the Cooperage	64.00	11.17	75.17	\$ -
8872/20116066	Hudson Valley Housing Development Fund Company, Inc.	Kingston Meadows Senior Residence	62.00	13.04	75.04	\$ -
2321/20116255	Birchez Associates, LLC	The Birches at Fishkill	60.00	10.24	70.24	\$ 1,075,000.00
8822/20116087	Atlantic Development Group, LLC	144 Crary Avenue Resubmission	59.00	0.00	59.00	\$ -

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL: LOW INCOME HOUSING TRUST FUND PROGRAM

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
8737/20116036	Horizon Heights, LTD	Alexa Meadows 2011	72.00	14.91	86.91	\$ -
2441/20116222	Rockland Housing Action Coalition, Inc.	Congers Lake Village	72.00	14.44	86.44	\$ -
8758/20116051	Regan Development Corp.	Dickinson's Keep	72.00	13.97	85.97	\$ -
8744/20116038	Premier Developers, LLC	Country Meadows 2011	67.00	13.97	80.97	\$ -
4098/20116215	The Kearney Realty & Development Group Inc.	Highridge Gardens	76.00	2.77	78.77	\$ -
8731/20116033	Warwick Properties, Inc.	Sunrise Apartments	61.00	15.84	76.84	\$ 850,000.00
4329/20116258	Birchez Associates, LLC	Birches at the Cooperage	64.00	11.17	75.17	\$ -
2321/20116255	Birchez Associates, LLC	The Birches at Fishkill	60.00	10.24	70.24	\$ 737,770.00
4318/20116234	The Community Buildings, Inc.	Public School 6	64.00	4.64	68.64	\$ 1,496,295.00

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL: HOMES FOR WORKING FAMILIES PROGRAM

4318/20116234	The Community Buildings, Inc.	Public School 6	70.00	4.64	74.64	\$ 1,003,705.00
---------------	-------------------------------	-----------------	-------	------	-------	-----------------

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOND CAP

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
4318/20116234	The Community Buildings, Inc.	Public School 6	80.00	4.64	84.64	\$ 26,500,000.00

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL: STATE LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS PROGRAM

4318/20116234	The Community Buildings, Inc.	Public School 6	64.00	4.64	68.64	\$ 600,000.00
8822/20116087	Atlantic Development Group, LLC	144 Crary Avenue Resubmission	59.00	0.00	59.00	\$ -

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: ACCESS TO HOME PROGRAM

20113232	Hamaspik of Rockland County, Inc.	Hamaspik of Rockland County AtH 2011	79.00	M	79.00	\$ 250,000.00
20113065	Independent Living, Inc.	At Home In Orange & Sullivan Counties	78.00	M	78.00	\$ 300,000.00
20113234	Provider Hamaspik of Orange County	Hamaspik of Orange County AtH 2011	77.00	H	77.00	\$ 250,000.00
20113049	Westchester Residential Opportunities, Inc.	WRO Access to Home	70.00		70.00	\$ -
20113058	Family Service Society of Yonkers	FSSY Access to Home 11	68.00		68.00	\$ -
20113370	Rebuilding Together Dutchess Co., Inc	Rebuilding Together Dutchess County 2011	67.00		67.00	\$ -
20113034	Shandaken Revitalization Plan Committee, Inc.	Northern Ulster Access to Home	66.00		66.00	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
20113320	Regional Economic Community Action Program, Inc.	RECAP Access to Home 2011	65.00		65.00	\$ -
20113014	Putnam County Housing Corporation	Putnam Access to Home	57.00		57.00	\$ -

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION PROGRAM

5L33	Rural Ulster Preservation Co., Inc.	Healthy Homes in Ulster County	N/A	M		\$ 185,600.00
5L38	Town of Thompson	Thompson Home Improvement Program	N/A	H		\$ 185,600.00
8L50	Housing Action Council	Townhomes at Cockren Commons	N/A	M		\$ 400,000.00
8L97	Housing Action Council	445 North State Road	N/A	M		\$ 560,000.00

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - MICROENTERPRISE

4284	Village of Kiryas Joel	Kiryas Joel Microenterprise Program	46.00	6.51	52.51	\$ 200,000.00
4236	Village of Brewster	Brewster Microenterprise Program	41.00	4.17	45.17	

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM SMALL BUSINESS ASSISTANCE

8404	Sullivan County	Catskill Brewery	35.00	13.04	48.04	
------	-----------------	------------------	-------	-------	-------	--

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - HOUSING REHAB

1160HR4-11	Ulster County	Ulster County Housing Rehabilitation Program	413.00	M	413.00	\$ 750,000.00
1032HR5-11	Town of Saugerties	Town of Saugerties Housing Rehabilitation Program	256.00		256.00	
124HR43-11	Village of Brewster	Village of Brewster Housing Rehabilitation Program	169.00		169.00	

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - PUBLIC FACILITY

795PF36-11	Village of New Square	Village of New Square Health Center	390.00	M	390.00	\$ 400,000.00
603PF41-11	Village of Kiryas Joel	Village of Kiryas Joel Sidewalk Improvements	330.00		330.00	
749PF111-11	Village of Monticello	Village of Monticello Public Facilities Project	0.00		0.00	

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE (SEWAR)

388PR50-11	Town of Fallsburg	Town of Fallsburg Main-Lake Sanitary and Sewer Improvements	324.00	H	324.00	\$ 599,790.00
641PR51-11	Town of Liberty	Town of Liberty Loomis Sewer District Improvements	303.00	M	303.00	\$ 539,858.00
1159PR110-11	Town of Ulster	Town of Ulster Glenerie Storm Drainage Project	276.00	M	276.00	\$ 599,492.00

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
938PR141-11	City of Port Jervis	City of Port Jervis Beach Road Pump Station	232.00	M	232.00	\$ 287,000.00
642PR113-11	Village of Liberty	Village of Liberty Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	185.00		185.00	
1292PR117-11	Village of Wappingers Falls	Village of Wappingers Falls North Mesier Avenue Improvements	82.00		82.00	

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE (WATER)

1215PW102-11	Town of Wawarsing	Town of Wawarsing Improvements to Napanoch Water System	325.00	M	325.00	\$ 600,000.00
793PW72-11	Village of New Paltz	Village of New Paltz Water Storage Tank	317.00	M	317.00	\$ 600,000.00

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: NYS HOME PROGRAM

20113111	Town of Thompson	Thompson HOME Improvement Program	85.00	M	85.00	\$ 278,400.00
20113154	City of Kingston	Promoting HOMEownership In Kingston	84.00	M	84.00	\$ 350,000.00
20113155	Rural Ulster Preservation Company, Inc.	Healthy HOMEs In Ulster County	82.00	M	82.00	\$ 278,400.00
20113302	Village of Monticello	Monticello HOME Program	77.00	M	77.00	\$ -
20113357	Kiryas Joel Community Housing Development Organization, Inc.	P.A.T.H. X	76.00		76.00	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
20113318	Regional Economic Community Action Program, Inc.	Recap HOMEbuyer Assistance Program 2011	73.00		73.00	\$ -
20113235	Provider Hamaspik of Orange County	Hamaspik of Orange County HOME 2011	70.00		70.00	\$ -
20113334	Rural Sullivan Housing Corporation	Sullivan Housing Rehabilitation Program	70.00		70.00	\$ -
20113361	Pathstone Community Improvement of Newburgh	Pathstone Orange County HOME	68.00		68.00	\$ -
20113015	Putnam County Housing Corp.	Putnam Rental Assistance Program 2011	66.00		66.00	\$ -
20113381	Community Housing Innovations, Inc.	CHI Westchester Local HOME Program 2011	61.00		61.00	\$ -
20113032	Shandaken Revitalization Plan Committee, Inc.	Northwestern Ulster HOME Rehabilitation 2011	56.00		56.00	\$ -
20113408	Community Housing Innovations, Inc.	CHI Mamaroneck Local HOME Program 2011	51.00		51.00	\$ -
20113363	Human Development Services of Westchester	Port Chester NPC HOME Program	37.00		37.00	\$ -
20113138	Westchester Residential Opportunities, Inc.	WRO 2011 HOME Program	35.00		35.00	\$ -
20113418	Haitian American Cultural and Social Organization Inc.	American Dream First Time HOMEbuyer Program	0.00		0.00	\$ -

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: NEW YORK MAIN STREET PROGRAM

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
20113319	Walden Community Council, Inc.	Walden Main Street 2011	85.00	H	85.00	\$ 337,338.00
20113295	Town of Amenia	Amenia Downtown Renewal	82.00	M	82.00	\$ 195,000.00
20113348	New Rochelle Business Improvement District	New Rochelle BID Main Street 2011	81.00	M	81.00	\$ 500,000.00
20113273	Town of Shandaken	Pine Hill Main Street	74.00		74.00	\$ -
20113401	Liberty Community Development Corp - Hamlet of Parksville	Main Street Parksville Program	72.00		72.00	\$ -
20113396	County of Sullivan	River Corridor Main Street Program	69.00		69.00	\$ -
20113216	Peekskill Facilities Development Corporation	Peekskill Downtown Project	68.00		68.00	\$ -
20113309	Village of Monticello	Monticello Main Street Program	67.00		67.00	\$ -
20113231	The Bardavon 1869 Opera House dba Ulster Performing Arts Center	UPAC Exterior/Envelope Stabilization Project	64.00		64.00	\$ -
20113266	Hudson River Housing, Inc.	City Poughkeepsie Main St Program Middle Main II	64.00		64.00	\$ -
20113289	City of Yonkers	Yonkers Mill Street Building Rehab Program	64.00		64.00	\$ -
20113263	Village of Dobbs Ferry	Dobbs Ferry Downtown	63.00		63.00	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
20113306	Bethel LDC	Kauneonga Lake Phase III	63.00		63.00	\$ -
20113406	Liberty Community Development Corp.	Liberty Main Street Anchor Program	62.00		62.00	\$ -
20113374	Village of Ossining	2011 Village of Ossining	57.00		57.00	\$ -
20113351	Beacon Chamber of Commerce	Beacon Main Street/Theatre Square	55.00		55.00	\$ -
20113378	Rural Ulster Preservation Company, Inc.	Main Street & Rosendale Theater	54.00		54.00	\$ -
20113415	Town of Cornwall	Town of Cornwall Main Street Corridor 2011	44.00		44.00	\$ -

HOMES AND COMMUNITY RENEWAL/OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL: RESTORE PROGRAM

20113033	Shandaken Revitalization Plan Committee, Inc.	Three Town Emergency Funds 2011	87.00	H	87.00	\$ 75,000.00
20113002	Putnam County Housing Corporation	Putnam RESTORE Program 2011	82.00	H	82.00	\$ -
20113006	City of White Plains	City of White White Plains Community Development	79.00		79.00	\$ -
20113321	Regional Economic Community Action Program, Inc.	RECAP RESTORE 2011	79.00		79.00	\$ -
20113331	Rural Sullivan Housing Corporation	Sullivan Countywide RESTORE Program	78.00		78.00	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
20113233	Provider Hamaspik of Orange County	Hamaspik of Orange County RESTORE 2011	69.00		69.00	\$ -
20113333	City of Yonkers	RESTORE Yonkers Emergency Repair Program 2011	59.00		59.00	\$ -
20113041	Village of Spring Valley	Village of Spring Valley RESTORE 2011	53.00		53.00	\$ -
20113125	Westchester Residential Opportunities, Inc.	WRO RESTORE Program	52.00		52.00	\$ -

NEW YORK STATE ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY: CLEANER GREENER COMMUNITIES PROGRAM

7796	Orange County	Mid Hudson Regional Sustainability Study	72.00	19.00	91.00	\$ 865,000.00
NEW YORK POWER: RECHARGE NEW YORK						
3555	Avon Products, Inc.	N/A	54.11	16.40	70.51	1020 kW
6470	Blythedale Children's Hospital	N/A	54.49	15.67	70.17	100 kW
3889	Montefiore Medical Center	N/A	47.27	16.40	63.66	1470 kW
10255	St. John's Riverside Hospital - Park Care Pavilion	N/A	48.61	13.50	62.11	200 kW
10131	St. John's Riverside Hospital	N/A	46.68	14.95	61.63	496 kW
10099	Leake and Watts Services, Inc.	N/A	48.35	12.05	60.40	190 kW
8202	Greek Mountain Dairy, LLC	N/A	44.05	15.67	59.73	86 kW

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
9998	The Kingston Hospital	N/A	45.40	14.22	59.62	340 kW
9964	St. John's Riverside Hospital - Dobbs Ferry	N/A	46.98	10.60	57.58	126 kW
4198	International Business Machines Corporation	N/A	40.57	16.40	56.97	4486 kW
6374	WeRecycle! LLC	N/A	41.89	14.95	56.83	190 kW
7747	Excelsior Packaging Group	N/A	41.04	15.67	56.71	820 kW
4487	Pepsi Cola Bottling Company of New York, Inc.	N/A	42.70	13.50	56.20	36 kW
10334	Pace University	N/A	43.81	12.05	55.86	330 kW
5108	Innovative Plastics Corporation	N/A	39.37	16.40	55.77	570 kW
3045	Kolmar Laboratories, Inc.	N/A	38.99	16.40	55.39	780 kW
10024	The New York Presbyterian Hospital	N/A	44.67	10.60	55.27	360 kW
3676	International Business Machines Corporation	N/A	38.46	16.40	54.86	10000 kW
4201	BASF Corporation	N/A	44.17	10.60	54.77	1600 kW
10069	Wyeth Holdings	N/A	41.90	12.77	54.68	3000 kW
10128	Manhattan Beer Distributors	N/A	41.23	12.05	53.28	56 kW
5410	San-Mar Laboratories Inc.	N/A	38.26	13.50	51.76	200 kW

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
9119	International Business Machines Corporation	N/A	38.05	13.50	51.55	10000 kW
9331	Manhattan Beer Distributors	N/A	42.13	9.15	51.28	110 kW
10411	Aluf Plastics	N/A	36.34	13.50	49.84	3000 kW
9361	Metal Container Corporation	N/A	41.87	6.98	48.84	3500 kW
4395	Silarx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	N/A	44.03	4.80	48.83	76 kW
10043	Dab-O-Matic Corporation	N/A	38.21	10.60	48.81	136 kW
9641	Ortho-Rite, Inc.	N/A	36.66	9.86	46.52	26 kW

OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION: HERITAGE AREAS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

4474	Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.	Kingston Home Port and Education Center	58.50	15.37	73.87	\$ 400,000.00
2015	Jewish Federation of Ulster County	Reher Bakery	46.50	10.24	56.74	\$ -
5933	Arts Society of Kingston, Inc.	Repair and renovation permitting community access and use of both floors of ASK Arts Center	36.00	8.84	44.84	\$ -

OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION: HISTORIC PRESERVATION - DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

2096	Calvert Vaux Preservation Alliance	Hoyt House Restoration	54.00	9.31	66.47 *	\$ 320,000.00
------	------------------------------------	------------------------	-------	------	---------	---------------

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
5347	The Friends of Mozartina Musical Arts Conservatory, Inc.	The Tarrytown Music Hall	40.00	15.37	66.02 *	\$ 400,000.00
2054	Palisades Parks Conservancy, Inc.	Bear Mountain Inn Restoration	54.50	6.51	61.01	\$ 400,000.00
5581	Committee to Save the Bird Homestead, Inc.	Bird Homestead Meeting House Rehabilitation	45.50	14.44	59.94	\$ 250,600.00
2015	Jewish Federation of Ulster County	Reher Bakery	48.00	10.24	58.24	\$ -
4736	Bardavon 1869 Opera House, Inc.	The Bardavon	42.00	9.77	54.36 *	\$ -
3164	First Reformed Protestant Dutch Church	First Reformed Church of Fishkill Restoration	38.00	13.04	53.59 *	\$ -
5306	The Woodstock School of Art, Inc.	Historic Preservation and Building Upgrades	43.50	10.71	54.21	\$ -
2589	Caramoor Center for Music and the Arts, Inc.	Rosen House Preservation	38.50	14.91	56.08*	\$ -
7222	The Campaign for the Westchester Children's Museum, Inc	Westchester Childrens Museum	33.50	18.17	51.67	\$ -
4154	Friends of John Jay Homestead	John Jay Homestead Carriage Barn Rehabilitation	44.00	2.30	48.62 *	\$ -
3752	Christ Episcopal Church	Christ Episcopal Church Exterior Restoration	41.50	2.77	46.48 *	\$ -
7918	Sisters of the Divine Compassion of the State of New York	Exterior Restoration and Groundwater Drainage of the Chapel of the Divine Compassion	38.50	7.44	45.94	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
5201	Tappan Washington Memorial Corporation	DeWint House Revitalization	32.00	12.57	44.57	\$ -
2259	Rye Town Park Commission	Preservation of Historic Bathing Pavilion	34.50	9.77	44.27	\$ -
8496	Tappan Free Library	Tappan Library Renovation and Expansion	26.50	17.24	43.74	\$ -
2140	County of Orange	Historic Restoration of 1841 Courthouse	37.50	6.04	43.54	\$ -
3928	Historical Society of Newburgh Bay and the Highlands	Crawford House	42.50	0.44	42.94	\$ -
2012	Vassar-Warner Home	Restoration of Cornices Gutters and East Porch of Historic VassarWarner Home	36.23	0.00	36.23 *	\$ -
8658	The Town of Stony Point	Pyngyp OneRoom Schoolhouse	25.00	9.77	34.77	\$ -
3922	The Reformed Church of The Tarrytowns	Old Dutch Church	22.50	0.90	23.40	\$ -

OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION: PARKS - ACQUISITION PROJECTS

2863	Mohonk Preserve, Inc.	Kleinekill Farm	65.50	10.24	75.74	\$ -
2141	The County of Orange	Acquisition Sparrowbush River Access	50.00	9.31	59.31	\$ -
2859	Dutchess Land Conservancy, Inc.	Dover Stone Church Vincent	45.50	9.77	58.04*	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
3835	New Square Community Improvement Council	Project Walk Play	44.00	4.17	48.17	\$ -

OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION: PARKS - DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

2225	Open Space Conservancy	Canopus Lake Beach Welcome Facilities Renovation	63.00	9.31	72.31	\$ 400,000.00
4243	Poughkeepsie-Highland Railroad Bridge Company Inc.	Waterfront Elevator Gateway	58.00	8.84	70.18*	\$ 400,000.00
7198	Village of New Paltz	Hasbrouck Park	50.50	17.24	67.74	\$ 45,698.00
2003	New York-New Jersey Trail Conference	Bear Mountain Trails	58.00	3.70	61.70	\$ 188,750.00
2661	Town of Marbletown	Marbletown OW Rail Trail	48.00	13.04	61.04	\$ -
5183	Village of Dobbs Ferry	Waterfront Park Fishing Pier	40.50	17.24	60.63*	\$ -
2341	City of Peekskill	Chauncey Depew Trail	41.50	13.97	58.25*	\$ -
5675	City of Kingston	Hasbrouck Park and Trail	43.00	14.44	57.44	\$ -
3813	City of Yonkers	Larkin Plaza Daylighting Park	45.00	11.17	56.17	\$ -
6269	Village of Briarcliff Manor	Scarborough Park Redevelopment	41.50	11.64	55.79*	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
5880	City of White Plains	Kittrell Pool Renovation and Reconstruction	45.00	9.31	54.31	\$ -
2054	Palisades Parks Conservancy, Inc.	PIPC	46.50	6.51	53.01	\$ -
3748	Town of Hyde Park	Hackett Hill Green Infrastructure Parking Area	37.50	14.44	54.54*	\$ -
4402	Ashokan Center, Inc.	Rebuilding Ashokan Center Campus	39.75	12.11	54.54*	\$ -
6487	Town of Saugerties	Saugerties Recreational Trails	40.00	14.44	54.44*	\$ -
2500	Town of Cortlandt	Furnace Dock Lake Park Improvements	39.00	11.17	52.68*	\$ -
6939	Town of Thompson	Town Park	35.00	13.04	48.04	\$ -
1998	Village of Piermont	Rockland Road Bridge Park	34.00	13.04	47.04	\$ -
6226	County of Dutchess	QC Parking	37.50	9.31	49.15*	\$ -
2100	Town of Hempstead Rock Hall Museum	Rock Hall educational center	34.25	9.77	44.02	\$ -
2418	Village of Nyack	Nyack Memorial Park	30.75	12.11	42.86	\$ -
2969	Town of Greenburgh	Phase II Reconstruction of Hartsbrook Park and Preserve Barn and Greenhouse	28.50	12.57	43.13*	\$ -

2011 CFA Projects

MID HUDSON

Indicates Priority Project						
CFA Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Agency Score	Regional Council Score (Normalized)	Final Score	Amount Funded
1979	Village of Walden	WaldenPAC	28.00	13.04	41.04	\$ -
5915	City of White Plains	Veterans Memorial Park Construction	34.00	6.04	40.04	\$ -
8363	City of Yonkers	Yonkers Alexander Street Waterfront Development	26.50	11.64	38.14	\$ -
2427	City of Poughkeepsie	Pulaski Park Improvements	23.00	10.71	35.39*	\$ -
2050	Village of Montebello	Proposed Trails at Gorman Ponds Park	27.50	5.10	32.60	\$ -

OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION: PARKS - PLANNING PROJECT

6359	Asian Americans for Equality	Park Adaptive Reuse Planning	40.50	0.00	40.50	\$ -
------	------------------------------	------------------------------	-------	------	-------	------

*The above items are those for which Greenway bonus points (5%) have been added. You will note that if the Agency and Regional Council Scores are added together, the sum will not equal the Final Score. This is due to the 5% that gets added on to the sub-total to get the final score (see CFA # 4243 for example: Agency Score 58 + Regional Council Score 8.838 totals 66.838; tack on bonus of 3.341 = Final Score 70.179 rounded to 70.18) The Greenway Bonus is added due to the fact that The Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation follows Article 44-0119 of Environmental Conservation Law, which states:

6. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any state agency may provide in implementing a ranking system for allocating funds for infrastructure, land acquisition or park assistance projects a preference not to exceed the equivalent of an advantage of five percent for such projects which are identified in a regional plan approved pursuant to this section.